

# Marked enhancement *in vivo* of paclitaxel's (taxol's) tumor-regressing activity by ATP-depleting modulation

Daniel S Martin,<sup>1,2</sup> Robert L Stolfi,<sup>1</sup> Joseph R Colofiore<sup>1</sup> and L Dee Nord<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Developmental Chemotherapy, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, 1275 York Avenue, New York, NY 10021, USA. <sup>2</sup>Cancer Research Department, Catholic Medical Center of Brooklyn and Queens, Inc., 89–15 Woodhaven Boulevard, Woodhaven, NY 11421, USA Tel: (+1) 718 849 0653. Fax: (+1) 718 846 6885.

Paclitaxel alone is active against the CD8F1 murine spontaneous mammary cancer, and when administered following an ATP-depleting combination of *N*-(phosphonacetyl)-L-aspartate (PALA) + 6-methylmercaptopurine riboside (MMPR) + 6-aminonicotinamide (6-AN) (PMA) produced significantly enhanced partial tumor regressions over that produced by either paclitaxel alone at the maximal tolerated dose (MTD), or by the PMA drug combination alone, against advanced, first passage spontaneous murine breast tumors. The anticancer activity of paclitaxel is due to enhancement and stabilization of microtubule polymerization. Pertinently, microtubule disassembly (an ATP-dependent process) is known to sharply decrease in the presence of ATP depletion. Thus, the dramatic therapeutic enhancement observed with paclitaxel in combination with PMA is in agreement with biochemical expectations, since PMA has been shown to deplete ATP in CD8F1 tumor cells. The augmented therapeutic results were obtained with approximately one-third the MTD of paclitaxel as a single agent and suggest the potential clinical benefit of more effective treatment with lesser amounts of drug.

**Key words:** ATP depletion, biochemical modulation, murine mammary tumors, paclitaxel, tumor regression.

## Introduction

The antimicrotubule agent, paclitaxel, has demonstrated significant antineoplastic activity in patients with refractory ovarian cancer, refractory breast cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, head and neck cancer, and other types of cancers.<sup>1</sup> Its broad antitumor activity and novel mechanism of action have generated great interest. Paclitaxel enhances and stabilizes microtubule polymerization, induces

extensive microtubule bundles and multinucleation of cells, blocks mitosis, and kills cells by apoptosis.<sup>2–7</sup> Microtubule disassembly, however, is an ATP-dependent process that sharply decreases in the presence of energy metabolism inhibitors that reduce the intracellular ATP pools.<sup>8–10</sup> For example, cyclocreatine, which reduces ATP availability, has been reported<sup>11</sup> to produce synergistic killing of tumor cells *in vitro* in combination with paclitaxel. ATP depletion apparently inhibits microtubule phosphorylation, thereby increasing microtubule stability and suppressing microtubule dynamics.<sup>8–10</sup> Against this background, it seemed logical to evaluate the administration of PMA prior to paclitaxel.

PMA, a drug combination designed to primarily deplete cellular energy (i.e. ATP) in tumor cells, has been shown to have preclinical anticancer activity *in vivo*,<sup>12,13</sup> and when administered prior to a variety of anticancer agents, or prior to radiotherapy, produces significantly greater tumor-regressing activity *in vivo* than that achieved by either the PMA combination alone or the maximal tolerated dose (MTD) of the anticancer effector agent(s) alone on CD8F1 murine advanced breast tumors.<sup>12–15</sup> The biochemical rationale and the supporting biochemical data showing that PMA significantly lowers ATP levels (e.g. to 30% of saline-treated control tumors) in CD8F1 murine breast tumors have been presented.<sup>12,13,16</sup>

This publication reports that paclitaxel alone is active against the CD8F1 tumor, that the administration of the *N*-(phosphonacetyl)-L-aspartate (PALA) + 6-methylmercaptopurine riboside (MMPR) + 6-aminonicotinamide (6-AN) (PMA) drug combination prior to paclitaxel significantly enhanced antitumor activity over that produced by either paclitaxel alone at MTD, or the PMA drug combination alone, and that only one-third the MTD dose of paclitaxel (in

---

Supported by Public Health Service Grant CA 25842 from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services.

---

Correspondence to D Martin

combination with PMA) is required for this greater level of antitumor activity.

## Materials and methods

### CD8F1 murine breast tumor system

CD8F1 hybrid mice bearing single spontaneous, autochthonous breast tumors were selected from our colony which has been described previously<sup>17,18</sup> and which was included in the murine tumor testing panel of the National Cancer Drug Screening Program.<sup>19,20</sup> For each first passage tumor transplant experiment, a tumor cell brei, prepared by pooling three or four spontaneously arising CD8F1 breast tumors, was transplanted subcutaneously into syngeneic 3-month-old BALB/c × DBA/8 F<sub>1</sub> (hereafter called CD8F1) mice. In approximately 3–4 weeks, when transplanted tumors were well advanced and measurable, all tumor transplants were measured and the tumor-bearing mice were distributed among experimental groups (10 tumor-bearing mice/group) so that mice carrying tumors of approximately equal weight were represented in each treatment group. The average tumor size of first passage 'advanced' tumors used in this series of experiments ranged from 84 to 180 mg per experiment at the beginning of treatment.

### Tumor measurement

Two axes of the tumor (the longest axis, *L*, and the shortest axis, *W*) were measured with the aid of a Vernier caliper. Tumor weight was estimated according to the formula: tumor weight (mg) =  $L(\text{mm}) \times (W(\text{mm})^2)/2$ .

### Chemotherapeutic agents

MMPR and 6-AN were obtained from Sigma (St Louis, MO). Each of these agents was dissolved in 0.85% NaCl solution immediately before use. PALA and paclitaxel were obtained from the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (USPHS, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD). PALA was dissolved in 0.85% NaCl solution and the pH was adjusted to 7.2–7.5 with 1N NaOH before adjustment to final volume. Paclitaxel was received already solubilized in polyoxyethylated castor oil and dehydrated alcohol. Because of the known toxicity of this diluent, the paclitaxel stock was diluted, depending upon

the dose to be administered, a minimum of 6-fold in saline before injection. For doses below 10 mg/kg, paclitaxel was administered i.p. in 0.1 ml/10 g of body weight. For doses above 10 mg/kg, an appropriate additional volume was administered. All other agents were administered so that the desired dose was contained in 0.1 ml/10 g of mouse body weight.

PMA was administered i.p. in a timed sequence, with PALA (100 mg/kg) administered 17 h before MMPR (150 mg/kg) + 6-AN (10 mg/kg), and paclitaxel (25 mg/kg) administered 2.5 h after MMPR + 6-AN.

### Determination of chemotherapy-induced tumor regression rate

The initial size of each tumor in each treatment group was recorded prior to the initiation of treatment. Tumor size was recorded weekly during treatment and again at 4–7 days after the last course of treatment. For each experiment a single observer made all measurements in order to avoid variation in caliper measurements from individual to individual. By convention, partial tumor regression is defined as a reduction in tumor volume of 50% or greater compared to the tumor volume at the time of initiation of treatment. The partial regression (PR) rate obtained from a particular treatment is expressed as a percentage, i.e. number of partial regressions per group/total number of surviving animals per group × 100.

### Statistical evaluation

Differences in the size of tumors between treatment groups were compared for statistical significance by the Student's *t*-test. Differences between groups with *p* = 0.05, or less, were considered significant.

## Results

### PMA + paclitaxel achieves superior antitumor activity than paclitaxel or PMA alone

Table 1 reports four separate experiments in first generation CD8F1 murine advanced breast tumors. Each experiment consisted of four groups, each comprised of 10 tumor-bearing animals treated with (1) saline, (2) paclitaxel alone, (3) PMA alone or (4) the combination of PMA + paclitaxel. Treatment

**Table 1.** PMA<sup>a</sup> + paclitaxel achieves superior antitumor activity than paclitaxel alone against first generation CD8F1 breast tumor transplants<sup>b</sup>

Experiment no. (group)	Treatment	Body weight change (%)	Dead/total	Average Tumor weight (mg)	PR/survivors
2542					
1	saline	—	9/10	—	0
2	paclitaxel <sub>80</sub>	−3	0/10	556	0
3	PMA	−11	2/10	539	0
4	PMA + paclitaxel <sub>25</sub>	−15	0/10	62 <sup>c</sup>	5
2544					
1	saline	−5	5/10	4152	0
2	paclitaxel <sub>80</sub>	−6	2/10	1140	0
3	PMA	−15	0/10	312	0
4	PMA → 2.5 h → paclitaxel <sub>25</sub>	−20	0/10	63 <sup>c</sup>	4
2553					
1	saline	0	3/10	5283	0
2	paclitaxel <sub>80</sub>	−9	1/10	216	0
3	PMA	−23	0/10	222	0
4	PMA → 2.5 h → paclitaxel <sub>30</sub>	−24	1/10	44 <sup>c</sup>	5
3029					
1	saline	−4	0/10	2461	0
2	paclitaxel <sub>80</sub>	−2	0/10	977	0
3	PMA	−18	0/10	512	0
4	PMA → 2.5 h → paclitaxel <sub>25</sub>	−15	0/10	416	0

<sup>a</sup>PALA (100 mg/kg) administered i.p. 17 h before i.p. MMPR (150 mg/kg) + i.p. 6-AN (10 mg/kg) with taxol given i.p. 2.5 h after MMPR + 6-AN (except for exp. 2542 where PMA + paclitaxel were administered concomitantly). Subscripts = mg/kg.

<sup>b</sup>Experiments 2542, 2544, 2553 and 3029 with tumor weights averaging 85, 115, 84 and 120 mg, respectively, at initiation of therapy. Three courses of the indicated therapy at 10–11 day intervals. Observations at 6 days after the third course.

<sup>c</sup>Statistically significant ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) compared to paclitaxel alone (80 mg/kg, group 2) or PMA alone (except for exp. 3029).

with paclitaxel alone (group 2) or PMA alone (group 3) produced statistically significant inhibition of tumor growth compared to saline-treated tumors (group 1). Paclitaxel alone produced partial tumor regressions after the first two courses, but these tumors re-grew by the third course and, thus, no tumor regressions were observed in group 2 at the time of evaluation after three courses of treatment. It should be noted that courses of treatment were administered every 10–11 days and tumor measurements were recorded at 6 days after the third course of treatment; hence, there was ample opportunity for growth of paclitaxel-resistant tumor cells. The groups receiving the combination of PMA and paclitaxel (group 4) demonstrated statistically significant tumor inhibition (except for exp. 3029) compared to paclitaxel alone or PMA alone, as well as impressive tumor regression rates (i.e. 50, 40 and 55%).

In another group of five separate experiments without either saline or paclitaxel alone treatment groups, the triple drug regimen, without (group 1) or with paclitaxel (group 2), was administered at 10–11 day intervals and pooled observations were recorded 6 days after the third course of treatment.

Pooling the toxicity data of the five groups receiving only the PMA drug regimen, there was an average weight loss of 16% and a mortality rate of 12%. There were no mortalities in the PMA + paclitaxel-containing drug combination, group 2, and the weight loss (18%) was essentially identical to its PMA-treated control without paclitaxel, group 1, but the tumor-regressing therapeutic activity was significantly better ( $p < 0.001$ ) than that achieved by the PMA drug combination without paclitaxel. It should be noted that, whereas weight loss can inhibit tumor growth, tumor regressions are never produced by weight loss. It also should be noted that the superior antitumor activity of the PMA + paclitaxel combination was achieved with a dose of paclitaxel (25 mg/kg) that was less than one-third that of the MTD of paclitaxel alone (80 mg/kg) and that this increase in antitumor activity was achieved without mortality. See Table 2.

## Discussion

Importantly, the tumor-regressing effects of paclitaxel that were significantly enhanced by the prior

**Table 2.** Enhanced activity of paclitaxel when administered following PMA<sup>a</sup> ATP-depleting chemotherapy in the treatment of advanced first passage CD8F1 murine breast tumors<sup>b</sup>

Treatment	Body weight change (%)	Dead/total	PR/survivors
1 PMA	-16	6/49 (12%)	2/43 (5%)
2 PMA → 2.5 h → taxol <sub>25</sub>	-18	0/48 (0%)	27/48 (56%) <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>PALA administered i.p. 17 h before i.p. MMPR (150 mg/kg) + 6-AN (10 mg/kg) and paclitaxel (25 mg/kg) administered i.p. 2.5 h after MMPR + 6-AN. Subscript = mg/kg. Observations recorded 6 days after the third course of treatment.

<sup>b</sup>Pooled results: expts 2659F, 2662F, 2670F, 2674F and 2675F with initial tumor weights averaging 180, 172, 130, 155 and 122 mg, respectively.

<sup>c</sup>Statistical significance of PR rate between group 1 and 2:  $p < 0.001$ .

administration of PMA were obtained with one-third the MTD of paclitaxel as a single agent. A potential benefit of the combination treatment, in addition to enhanced clinical responses over that observed with paclitaxel alone in human breast cancer, is that the ability to employ lower effective doses of paclitaxel may lower clinical toxicity associated with this agent. The toxic side effects of paclitaxel are dose-dependent and its diluent vehicle, Cremopher El, may be responsible for hypersensitivity reactions;<sup>1</sup> thus, a lower clinical dose of paclitaxel employed with PMA may reduce toxicities even while the antitumor effects are increased. High doses of paclitaxel have not been established to be superior to low doses in the clinic and, even if the toxicities and antitumor activity are equivalent, the lower dose will be less expensive.<sup>1</sup> Further, *in vivo* studies have demonstrated that, at low levels, paclitaxel blocks mitosis and inhibits cell proliferation by stabilizing spindle microtubules, apparently by a subtle effect on the inhibition of cell proliferation from the binding of small numbers of paclitaxel molecules per microtubule, and not by changing the mass of polymerized tubulin.<sup>21-24</sup> Importantly, low levels of paclitaxel *per se* are reported to lead not only to inhibition of cell proliferation but also to cell killing.<sup>21</sup>

ATP depletion appears to be of relevance in both paclitaxel-induced and DNA-damaging agent-induced apoptosis. For example, while the primary direct effect of paclitaxel is indeed directed at the microtubules, there also are 'ladder pattern' DNA breaks formed during paclitaxel-induced apoptosis<sup>24,25</sup> that may activate poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP), the nuclear enzyme that, when activated, depletes ATP.<sup>26</sup> Like paclitaxel, corticosteroids are not direct DNA-damaging agents, but nevertheless induce DNA strand breaks, followed by PARP activation, NAD consumption and ATP depletion, which precede apoptotic cell death in susceptible cancer cells.<sup>27</sup>

This is a documented example<sup>27</sup> that the cytotoxicity of *non*-direct DNA-damaging agents may be mediated by DNA strand breaks that in turn induce ATP depletion. There are a number of findings common to the apoptosis induced by both types of agent. For example, p53 is an important determinant of apoptosis induction,<sup>28</sup> and both DNA-damaging agents<sup>29</sup> and paclitaxel<sup>30</sup> increase p53 levels, both activate p53-dependent cyclin kinases such as p21<sup>WAF1/CIP1</sup> and p34<sup>cdc2</sup>,<sup>30</sup> and both enhance tubulin polymerization in apoptosis.<sup>31</sup>

The significantly enhanced tumor regression rate of PMA + paclitaxel, obtained *in vivo* in well-advanced murine solid tumors, is clearly dramatic and appears important. Therefore, while the hypothesized role of ATP depletion in the therapeutic synergism between PMA and paclitaxel is investigated, a phase I clinical trial of PMA will be conducted. The optimization of a PALA + MMPR dose and schedule at the clinical level has been previously established,<sup>32</sup> and only the addition of an appropriate dose of 6-AN need be ascertained in the projected PMA phase I clinical study, for phase I (and II) clinical studies of PMA + paclitaxel to be investigated in proven paclitaxel-susceptible human tumors such as breast, ovarian and esophageal cancers.

## References

1. Rowinsky EK, Donehower RC. Paclitaxel (Taxol). *N Engl J Med* 1995; **332**: 1004-14.
2. Schiff PB, Fant J, Horwitz SB. Promotion of microtubule assembly *in vitro* by Taxol. *Nature* 1979; **22**: 665-7.
3. Schiff PB, Horwitz SB. Taxol stabilizes microtubules in mouse fibroblast cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1980; **77**: 1561-5.
4. Parness J, Horwitz SB. Taxol binds to polymerized tubulin *in vitro*. *J Cell Biol* 1981; **91**: 479-87.

5. Manfredi JJ, Horwitz SB. Taxol: an antimitotic agent with a new mechanism of action. *Pharmacol Ther* 1984; **25**: 83–125.
6. Martin SJ, Cotter TG. Disruption of microtubules induces an endogenous suicide pathway in human leukemia HL-60 cells. *Cell Tissue Kinet* 1991; **23**: 545–59.
7. Lennon SV, Martin SJ, Cotter TG. Dose-dependent induction of apoptosis in human tumor cell lines by widely diverging stimuli. *Cell Prolif* 1991; **24**: 203–14.
8. Gelfand VI, Bershadsky AD. Microtubule dynamics: mechanisms, regulation, and function. *Annu Rev Cell Biol* 1991; **7**: 93–116.
9. Bershadsky AD, Gelfand VI. ATP-dependent regulation of cytoplasmic microtubule disassembly. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1981; **78**: 3610–3.
10. DeBrabander M, Geuens G, Nuydens R, Willebrords R, De Mey J. Microtubule assembly in living cells after release from nocodazole block: the effects of metabolic inhibitors, taxol and pH. *Cell Biol Int Rep* 1981; **5**: 913–20.
11. Martin KJ, Vassallo CD, Teicher BA, Kaddurah-Dasuk R. Microtubule stabilization and potentiation of Taxol activity by the creatine analog cyclocreatine. *Anti-Cancer Drugs* 1995; **6**: 419–26.
12. Stolfi RL, Colofiore JR, Nord LD, Koutcher JA, Martin DS. Biochemical modulation of tumor cell energy: regression of advanced, spontaneous murine breast tumors with a 5-fluorouracil-containing drug combination. *Cancer Res* 1992; **52**: 4074–81.
13. Martin DS, Stolfi RL, Colofiore JR, et al. Apoptosis resulting from anti-cancer agent activity *in vivo* is enhanced by biochemical modulation of tumor cell energy. In: Lavin M, Watters D, eds. *Programmed cell death. The cellular and molecular biology of apoptosis*. Basel: Harwood Academic 1993: 279–96.
14. Martin DS, Stolfi RL, Colofiore JR, Nord LD. Biochemical modulation of tumor cell energy *in vivo*: II. A lower dose of Adriamycin is required and greater antitumor activity is induced when cellular energy is depressed. *Cancer Invest* 1994; **12**: 296–307.
15. Koutcher JA, Alfieri A, Stolfi RL, et al. Potentiation of a three drug chemotherapy regimen by radiation. *Cancer Res* 1993; **53**: 3518–23.
16. Colofiore JR, Stolfi RL, Nord LD, Martin DS. Biochemical modulation of tumor cell energy IV. Evidence for the contribution of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) depletion to chemotherapeutically-induced tumor regression. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1995; **50**: 1943–48.
17. Stolfi RL, Martin DS, Fugmann RA. Spontaneous murine mammary adenocarcinoma: model system for evaluation of combined methods of therapy. *Cancer Chemother Rep* 1971; **55**: 239–51.
18. Martin DS, Fugmann RA, Stolfi RL, Hayworth PE. Solid tumor animal model therapeutically predictive for human breast cancer. *Cancer Chemother Rep* 1975; **5**: 89–109.
19. Goldin A, Venditti JM, MacDonald JS, et al. Current results of the screening program at the Division of Cancer Treatment, National Cancer Institute. *Eur J Cancer* 1981; **17**: 129–42.
20. Stolfi RL, Stolfi LM, Sawyer RC, Martin DS. Chemotherapeutic evaluation using clinical criteria in spontaneous, autochthonous murine breast tumors. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1988; **80**: 52–5.
21. Jordan MA, Toso RJ, Thrower D, Wilson L. Mechanism of mitotic block and inhibition of cell proliferation by taxol at low concentrations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1993; **90**: 9552–6.
22. Long BHJ, Fairchild CR. Paclitaxel inhibits progression of mitotic cells to G<sub>1</sub> phase by interference with spindle formation without affecting other microtubule functions during anaphase and telephase. *Cancer Res* 1994; **54**: 4353–61.
23. Garcia P, Braguer D, Carles G, Briand D. Simultaneous combination of microtubule depolymerizing and stabilizing agents acts at low doses. *Anti-Cancer Drugs* 1995; **6**: 533–44.
24. Bhalla K, Ibrado AM, Tourkina E, Tang C, Mahoney ME, Huang Y. Taxol induces internucleosomal DNA fragmentation associated with programmed cell death in human myeloid leukemia cells. *Leukemia* 1993; **7**: 563–8.
25. Woods CM, Zhu J, McQueney PA, Bollag D, Lazarides E. Taxol-induced mitotic block triggers rapid onset of a p53-independent apoptotic pathway. *Mol Med* 1995; **1**: 506–26.
26. Berger NA. Poly(ADP-ribose) in the cellular response to DNA damage. *Radiat Res* 1985; **101**: 4–15.
27. Berger NA, Berger SJ, Sudar DC, Distelhorst CW. Role of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide and adenosine triphosphate in glucocorticoid-induced cytotoxicity in susceptible lymphoid cells. *J Clin Invest* 1987; **79**: 1558–63.
28. Kastan MB, Onyekwere O, Sidransky D, Vogelstein B, Craig RW. Participation of p53 protein in the cellular response to DNA damage. *Cancer Res* 1991; **51**: 6308–11.
29. Nelson WG, Kastan MB. DNA strand breaks: the DNA template alterations that trigger p53-dependent DNA response pathways. *Mol Cell Biol* 1994; **14**: 1815–23.
30. Tishler RB, Lamppu DM, Park S, Price B. Microtubule-act drugs Taxol, Vinblastine, and Nocodazole increase the levels of transcriptionally active p53. *Cancer Res* 1995; **55**: 6021–5.
31. Pittman S, Geyp M, Tynan SJ, Gramacho CM, Stickland DH, Fraser MJ, Ireland CM. Tubulin in apoptotic cells. In: Lavin M, Watters D, eds. *Programmed cell death. The cellular and molecular biology of apoptosis*. Basel: Harwood Academic 1993: 315–23.
32. O'Dwyer PJ, Hudes GR, Colofiore J, et al. Phase I trial of Fluorouracil modulation by N-phosphonacetyl-L-aspartate and 6-methylmercaptopurine riboside dose and schedule through biochemical analysis of sequential tumor biopsy specimens. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1991; **83**: 1235–40.

(Received 8 June 1996; accepted 21 June 1996)